Ectopic protein interactions within BRD4–chromatin complexes drive oncogenic megadomain formation in NUT midline carcinoma
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To investigate the mechanism that drives dramatic mistargeting of active chromatin, NUT midline carcinoma (NMC) (4, 5), we have identified protein interactions unique to the BRD4–NUT fusion oncoprotein compared with wild-type BRD4. Using cross-linking, affinity purification, and mass spectrometry, we identified the EP300 acetyltransferase as uniquely associated with BRD4 through interaction with NUT (7). Our recent genomic analysis of NMC patient cells. Our results support a model in which NMC is dependent on ectopic NUT-mediated interactions between EP300 and components of BRD4 regulatory complexes, leading to a cascade of misregulation.
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Mutations in the subunits of chromatin regulatory complexes are found at high frequencies in cancer cells. Thus, the comprehensive identification of the components of chromatin complexes implicated in disease via their protein–protein interactions is an important avenue toward finding potential targets for therapeutic intervention. In NUT midline carcinoma (NMC), a subtype of squamous cell cancer, the transcriptional machinery is hijacked to drive expression of progrowth, antidifferentiation genes (1–3). NMC is defined by chromosomal rearrangement of the NUT (NUTM1) gene, which is most commonly fused to the BRD4 gene (4, 5). The resulting BRD4–NUT chimeric oncoprotein forms large nuclear foci (6), proposed to form through tethering of the BRD4 bromodomains to acetylated chromatin and the acetylation of neighboring histones by EP300 (7). Our recent genome analysis of NMC patient cell lines provides strong evidence that the dual properties of acetyl-histone binding and EP300 recruitment result in a feed-forward expansion of acetylated chromatin and BRD4–NUT over massive genomic domains, often filling entire topologically associating domains (TADs) (3). The number and magnitude of these “megadomains” correlate with the characteristic nuclear foci seen in diagnostic patient tumor samples or in cultured NMC cells stained with a NUT-specific antibody (3, 6, 7).

Megadomains encompassing the MYC and TP53 regulatory regions are common to all NMCs examined to date, and RNAi knockdown of either of these genes in patient cells blocks growth and, in the case of MYC, leads to differentiation in culture (2, 3). Similarly, small-molecule BET inhibitors such as JQ1, which disengage BRD4–NUT from chromatin, diminish megadomain-associated transcription, including at MYC enhancers and TP53, and also result in differentiation and growth arrest of NMC cells (3, 8). Thus, it appears that BRD4–NUT directly misregulates these two key genes, and potentially many others, to drive one of the most aggressive tumors known in cancer biology. BET inhibitors, specifically targeting the BRD4 bromodomains, exhibit on-target activity in NMC patients (9), albeit with significant dose-limiting toxicity. Multiple BET inhibitor clinical trials are currently enrolling NMC patients (NCT01587703, NCT01987362, NCT02431260, and NCT02259114).

Significance

Chromatin factors generally act within large, multisubunit complexes; thus, identifying both their normal and aberrant interactors in cancer should provide important information regarding potential targets for therapeutic intervention. Here, we apply this principle to analysis of BRD4–NUT, a fusion oncoprotein that drives an aggressive subtype of squamous cell cancer. We identify ZNF532 as a prominent BRD4–NUT–interacting protein in an established NUT midline carcinoma patient cell line, and independently discover ZNF532 fused directly to NUT in a newly analyzed patient. Like BRD4–NUT, ZNF532–NUT forms unusually large (100-kb to 1-Mb) domains of hyperactive chromatin, including at the MYC locus, and drives self-reinforcing regulatory loops that are likely to be a powerful strategy for the growth advantage of cancer cells.
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Given the potency of aberrant chromatin regulation by BRD4–NUT, and reasoning that identifying additional therapeutic targets will be necessary to defeat this disease, we have undertaken a comprehensive analysis of BRD4–NUT protein interactions on chromatin in TC-797 NMC patient cells and when ectopically expressed in 293TRex cells. Rather than taking a candidate approach, we have used comprehensive proteomics to probe how fusion to NUT alters normal BRD4 function. Our cross-linking approach revealed that EP300 is indeed the acetyltransferase uniquely attracted by the NUT portion of the fusion oncoprotein. We further identified ZNF532 and a short list of additional factors as candidates associated with BRD4–NUT complexes specifically in patient cells. Remarkably, our identification of ZNF532 through BRD4–NUT proteomics coincided with our independent discovery of a translocation of ZNF532 to NUT in a newly identified NMC patient. We found that ZNF532–NUT fusion protein forms megadomains of hyperacetylated chromatin, similar to those formed by BRD4–NUT, suggesting a common feed-forward mechanism for megadomain formation.

Results

**EP300 Acetyltransferase Is Specific to BRD4–NUT Affinity Purification.** To identify factors that may contribute to BRD4–NUT–driven oncogenesis, we sought to affinity purify the fusion oncoprotein and compare a comprehensive list of its interacting proteins with factors copurified with BRD4 lacking NUT. To this end, we induced expression of BioTAP-tagged BRD4–NUT (BRD4–NUT–BioTAP) or the short isoform of BRD4 (BRD4short–BioTAP), encoding only the portion of BRD4 included in the BRD4–NUT fusion oncoprotein (10). We expressed the epistope-tagged proteins from single-copy transgenes integrated in a non-NMC cell line, 293T, the derivative of which we term 293TRex (3, 11). 293TRex cells serve as a useful model, as they do not normally harbor the oncogenic fusion but, when induced to express BRD4–NUT, form de novo nuclear foci and hyperacetylated megadomains (3). Chromatin cross-linking, affinity purification, and mass spectrometry (BioTAP-XL) (3, 12) allowed stringent purification of N- and C-terminally BioTAP-tagged BRD4–NUT and N-BioTAP–BRD4-associated proteins from 293TRex cells. Enrichment over input chromatin was calculated for each identified interaction (Materials and Methods). To illustrate the extent of shared and unique protein interactions across our experiments, we plotted each protein identified in the context of its enrichment in each respective pulldown relative to input. Dashed lines represent the 85th percentile of BioTAP enrichment (vertical line) and 95th percentile of BioTAP enrichment (horizontal line). See [Dataset S1](#) for full results. ([8]) Joint enriched: The top proteins and the number of peptides recovered in both the BRD4 and BRD4short pulldowns, based on reproducibility and the total peptide enrichment over input. Note that both N- and C-terminally tagged BRD4–NUT were analyzed. ([Bottom]) BRD4–NUT enriched: The top three proteins and the number of peptides recovered uniquely in BRD4–NUT vs. BRD4 pulldowns. Asterisks denote bait used for pulldown. MWT, molecular weight of protein in kilodaltons.

**Fig. 1.** BRD4 vs. BRD4–NUT protein interactions. (A) Scatterplot comparing BioTAP-BRD4short and BioTAP-BRD4–NUT pull-down enrichments in 293TRex cells. Each point represents an individual protein with coordinates (x, y) corresponding to its enrichment in each respective pulldown relative to input. Dashed lines represent the 85th percentile of BioTAP-BRD4short enrichment (vertical line) and 95th percentile of BioTAP-BRD4–NUT enrichment (horizontal line). See [Dataset S1](#) for full results. ([8],[Top]) Joint enriched: The top proteins and the number of peptides recovered in both the BRD4 and BRD4–NUT pulldowns, based on reproducibility and the total peptide enrichment over input. Note that both N- and C-terminally tagged BRD4–NUT were analyzed. ([Bottom]) BRD4–NUT enriched: The top three proteins and the number of peptides recovered uniquely in BRD4–NUT vs. BRD4 pulldowns. Asterisks denote bait used for pulldown. MWT, molecular weight of protein in kilodaltons.
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**Dataset S1**

Given the potency of aberrant chromatin regulation by BRD4–NUT, and reasoning that identifying additional therapeutic targets will be necessary to defeat this disease, we have undertaken a comprehensive analysis of BRD4–NUT protein interactions on chromatin in TC-797 NMC patient cells and when ectopically expressed in 293TRex cells. Rather than taking a candidate approach, we have used comprehensive proteomics to probe how fusion to NUT alters normal BRD4 function. Our cross-linking approach revealed that EP300 is indeed the acetyltransferase uniquely attracted by the NUT portion of the fusion oncoprotein. We further identified ZNF532 and a short list of additional factors as candidates associated with BRD4–NUT complexes specifically in patient cells. Remarkably, our identification of ZNF532 through BRD4–NUT proteomics coincided with our independent discovery of a translocation of ZNF532 to NUT in a newly identified NMC patient. We found that ZNF532–NUT fusion protein forms megadomains of hyperacetylated chromatin, similar to those formed by BRD4–NUT, suggesting a common feed-forward mechanism for megadomain formation.

In contrast, proteins enriched in the BRD4–NUT pulldown and not in the BRD4 pulldown are found in the upper left corner of Fig. 1A and listed in Fig. 1B, Bottom. Besides NUT and its putative transport factor XPO1 (6), the most highly enriched interacting protein is the EP300 acetyltransferase, affirming its previous identification through a candidate approach (7) and highlighting its unique status when BRD4–NUT interactors are analyzed comprehensively. This discovery lends strong support

---

**Results**

EP300 Acetyltransferase Is Specific to BRD4–NUT Affinity Purification.

To identify factors that may contribute to BRD4–NUT–driven oncogenesis, we sought to affinity purify the fusion oncoprotein and compare a comprehensive list of its interacting proteins with factors copurified with BRD4 lacking NUT. To this end, we induced expression of BioTAP-tagged BRD4–NUT (BRD4–NUT–BioTAP) or the short isoform of BRD4 (BRD4short–BioTAP), encoding only the portion of BRD4 included in the BRD4–NUT fusion oncoprotein (10). We expressed the epistope-tagged proteins from single-copy transgenes integrated in a non-NMC cell line, 293T, the derivative of which we term 293TRex (3, 11). 293TRex cells serve as a useful model, as they do not normally harbor the oncogenic fusion but, when induced to express BRD4–NUT, form de novo nuclear foci and hyperacetylated megadomains (3). Chromatin cross-linking, affinity purification, and mass spectrometry (BioTAP-XL) (3, 12) allowed stringent purification of N- and C-terminally BioTAP-tagged BRD4–NUT and N-BioTAP–BRD4-associated proteins from 293TRex cells. Enrichment over input chromatin was calculated for each identified interaction (Materials and Methods). To illustrate the extent of shared and unique protein interactions across our experiments, we plotted each protein identified in our dataset as an individual point whose coordinates along a specific axis correspond to its relative enrichment (or depletion) from the specified pulldown (for the full list of proteins recovered, please see [Dataset S1](#)). Fig. 1A shows a pairwise comparison of N-terminally tagged BRD4 and BRD4–NUT pulldowns from 293TRex cells. Proteins jointly enriched by both bait are found in the plot (Fig. S1, Upper Right Quadrant). In addition, the top interactors, consistently recovered in both N- and C-terminally tagged BioTAP-XL pulldowns, are listed in Fig. 1B. Such shared interactors include other double-bromodomain–containing proteins (BRD3, BRD2) and numerous transcriptional and chromatin regulators, including ATAD5, NSD3 (WHSC1L1), NSD2 (WHSC1), CBX4, CHD8, and pTEFβ (CDK9 + CCNT1) (13, 14). Interestingly, we identified the acetyltransferase CBP (CREBBP) as enriched in both pulldowns, consistent with its previous strong association with BRD4–NUT nuclear foci (7). Thus, the findings of our unbiased mass spectrometry strongly validate the known role for BRD4 as a transcriptional coactivator, and provide an expanded list of potential interactors to help understand its critical function in cell-type specificity and oncogenesis.

In contrast, proteins enriched in the BRD4–NUT pulldown and not in the BRD4 pulldown are found in the upper left corner of Fig. 1A and listed in Fig. 1B, Bottom. Besides NUT and its putative transport factor XPO1 (6), the most highly enriched interacting protein is the EP300 acetyltransferase, affirming its previous identification through a candidate approach (7) and highlighting its unique status when BRD4–NUT interactors are analyzed comprehensively. This discovery lends strong support
to a model in which BRD4–NUT formation of hyperacetylated megadomains occurs through sequential acetylation by EP300 and interaction with acetylated residues via the bromodomains of BRD4.

Positive Autoregulation of BRD4–NUT Complexes in Patient Cells. We next used cross-linking, affinity pulldown, and mass spectrometry to identify BRD4–NUT interactions specifically enriched in NMC patient cells, reasoning that these might help explain the disease state. We compared the proteins associated with BRD4–NUT–BioTAP in non-NMC 293TRex cells with those identified in 797TRex NMC patient cells expressing BRD4–NUT–BioTAP from an inducible, single-copy transgene in the presence of endogenous BRD4–NUT. Robust interactions common to both cell types (Fig. 2A and Fig. S1B) include BRD2, BRD3, WHSC1L1, WHSC1, ATAD5, CCNT1, CREBBP, and EP300. In addition, we found several proteins that were enriched in 797TRex pulldowns and absent from 293TRex affinity purifications (Fig. 2B). The two proteins consistently enriched to the highest levels only in 797TRex cells were SETBP1 and ZNF532. Mutations that stabilize SETBP1 protein are implicated in proliferation in leukemia cells (15). ZNF532 is a putative DNA-binding protein containing 12 C2H2 zinc-finger domains (www.uniprot.org/uniprot/Q9HCE3). The absence of SETBP1 and ZNF532 in 293TRex pulldowns may be due to their low expression levels (Dataset S1).

Interestingly, in addition to the association of BRD4–NUT and ZNF532 proteins in 797TRex patient cells, we found that the ZNF532 locus is a binding target for BRD4–NUT. Chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) analysis using anti-NUT antibodies revealed strong enrichment in or adjacent to the ZNF532 locus in a variety of NMC cells, including TC-797, 797TRex, 10-15 (16), and PER-403 (17), all of which harbor a BRD4–NUT fusion, and also 10326 cells, which carry a BRD3–NUT translocation (7) (Fig. 2C). Moreover, treatment of NMC cells with the bromodomain inhibitor JQ1 rapidly reduced nascent ZNF532 transcription in both cell lines tested (Fig. 2C). Consistent with the decrease in mRNA production, ZNF532 protein levels were also reduced by JQ1 treatment (Fig. 2D). JQ1 sensitivity

![Image](https://example.com/image.png)

**Fig. 2.** BRD4–NUT interactions in 293TRex cells vs. 797TRex NMC patient cells. (A) Scatterplot of affinity pull-down enrichments comparing BioTAP–BRD4–NUT from 293TRex and 797TRex cells. Thresholds for enrichment in both pulldowns are at the 95th percentile. Commonly enriched hits are depicted as yellow dots in the upper right quadrant, whereas hits enriched in 797TRex patient cells are depicted as red dots in the upper left quadrant. Asterisks denote bait used for pulldown. (B) Top hits unique to 797TRex patient cells that were enriched in both N- and C-terminally tagged BRD4–NUT pulldowns. Mwt, molecular weight of protein in kilodaltons. (C) BRD4–NUT (NUT-ChIP) binds the 5′ promoter region of the ZNF532 gene in different NMC patient-derived cells. ZNF532 transcription is strongly reduced after 4 h of 0.5 μM JQ1 treatment as measured by nascent RNA-seq (3). (D) Immunoblot of siRNA (48 h) (Left) or JQ1-treated (24 h) (Right) TC-797 lysisates with ZNF532 antibody. GAPDH was used as a loading control for protein normalization. (E) Immunofluorescence of 797TRex NMC cells induced to express HA-tagged BRD4–NUT. Antibodies used were anti-HA and anti-ZNF532. (Magnification: 400×.)
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of ZNF532 expression might be due to inhibition of endogenous BRD4, rather than of the BRD4–NUT fusion protein. Therefore, we tested for a specific dependency of ZNF532 expression on the BRD4–NUT fusion and found a strong reduction of ZNF532 protein following siRNA knockdown directed against NUT in TC-797 cells (Fig. 2D). BET inhibition also leads to a reduction in ZNF532 expression in various non-NMC tissues (18–22). These results demonstrate that ZNF532 expression is strongly dependent on BET bromodomain proteins such as BRD4, and especially on BRD4–NUT association with the locus in NMC patient cells.

To test whether ZNF532 indeed colocalizes with BRD4–NUT in patient cells, either broadly or within specific megadomains, we performed immunofluorescent staining of TC-797 patient cells with anti-ZNF532 antibodies. We found broad colocalization of ZNF532 with BRD4–NUT in the hyperacetylated nuclear foci corresponding to megadomains and characteristic of NMC (Fig. 2F), consistent with our proteomic analyses. Collectively, these findings provide strong evidence that ZNF532 in complex with BRD4–NUT positively regulates its own expression in a self-reinforcing regulatory loop in NMC. This feed-forward effect on expression, along with positive regulation of the Mediator subunit MED23 (3), is likely to boost the amounts of oncogenic NUT complexes available to help perpetuate the iterative cycle of acetylation and binding that leads to megadomain formation.

**ZNF532–NUT is a Novel Fusion Oncogene in NUT Midline Carcinoma.** During the course of these studies, we encountered a 62-y-old female patient with NMC involving the right upper lobe of her lung and pleura diagnosed based on biopsy and positive nuclear immunohistochemical staining with the NUT antibody (Fig. 3A). The patient was enrolled in the NUT Midline Carcinoma Registry (www.NMCRegistry.org) and we established a cell line, 24335, from her malignant pleural fluid posthumously through internal review board approval. Whole-transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq) on this cell line, 24335, identified a ZNF532–NUT fusion gene (Fig. 3B) that was confirmed by cytogenetics (Fig. 3C), RT-PCR (Fig. S2A), fluorescence in situ hybridization (Fig. 3D), Western blotting (Fig. S2B), and immunohistochemistry (Fig. 3E), demonstrating nuclear foci similar to those of BRD4–NUT. The resulting predicted 1,500-amino acid protein includes the N-terminal 778 amino acids of ZNF532, encoding only the first 2 of 12 zinc fingers and a large unstructured domain, part of intron 1 of NUT, and its remaining exons 2 to 7 (Fig. 3F). The first zinc finger included in the ZNF532–NUT fusion encodes a putative zinc-ribbon domain that is predicted to bind nucleic acids directly. Exons 2 to 7 of NUT are recurrently included in previously documented NUT fusions (10, 23–25), strongly suggesting that the ZNF532–NUT fusion protein is likely to be a primary driver of this malignancy.

To test the requirement for ZNF532–NUT in proliferation and in the blockade of differentiation in 24335 patient cells, we treated the cells with siRNAs against either ZNF532 or NUT. We found that in each case, ZNF532–NUT knockdown resulted in morphological changes indicative of differentiation (Fig. 3G) as well as induction of a terminal squamous differentiation marker, involucrin (Fig. S2C). Furthermore, proliferation of 24335 patient cells with anti-ZNF532 antibodies. (Magnification: 1,380×) (G) Immunohistochemical stain of the patient’s primary tumor using anti-ZNF532 antibodies. (Magnification: 1,380×) (F) Schematic of NUT fusions with ZNF532 and BRD4 (arrows denote fusion breakpoints). (G) H&E staining of 24335 cells 120 h following duplicate sequential siRNA transfection at 0 and 48 h. (Magnification: 400×.)
cells was attenuated after ZNF532–NUT knockdown (Fig S2D).
Together, these results confirm that the novel ZNF532–NUT
translocation causes a variant form of NMC.

ZNF532–NUT Forms Megadomains of Hyperacetylated Chromatin, Including at the MYC Locus. Our finding that ZNF532–NUT forms nuclear foci (Fig. 3A) led us to test whether ZNF532–NUT forms transcriptionally active megadomains similar to those of BRD4–NUT. Indeed, ChIP-seq analyses of 24335 cells, expressing endogenous ZNF532–NUT, revealed 100-kb- to 2-Mb-sized contiguous regions highly enriched with NUT, ZNF532, BRD4, EP300, and H3K27ac (Fig. 4A). Consistent with a transcriptionally active state, the silencing mark H3K27me3 was excluded from ZNF532 megadomains (Fig. S3A). Thus, the ZNF532–NUT megadomains closely resemble BRD4–NUT megadomains, with an even larger size distribution (Fig. 4B). ZNF532 megadomains, like those of BRD4–NUT (3), typically are delimited by topologically associating domain boundaries, often filling entire TADs (Fig. 4C and Fig. S3 B and C). Interestingly, despite these similarities, there was little overlap in the genomic location of ZNF532–NUT megadomains with those of BRD4–NUT in TC-797 and 293Trex cells (Fig. S3D), consistent with the idea that NUT-associated megadomains are cell type-specific (3).

Despite the very limited overlap of BRD4–NUT versus ZNF532–NUT megadomains, the MYC locus, including adjacent noncoding regions, is highly enriched with ZNF532–NUT (Fig. 4D). Moreover, most of the large regulatory region is transcriptionally active, similar to, and even more extensive than, all other NMC cells

Fig. 4. ZNF532–NUT forms megadomains and is JQ1-sensitive. (A) An example of a ZNF532–NUT domain in proximity to the CDK1 locus in the 24335 cell line. The domain shows strong enrichment for ZNF532, BRD4, NUT, EP300, and H3K27ac. H3K27me3 depletion is shown for contrast. Nascent RNA read density with and without the JQ1 treatment is in green. (B) ZNF532–NUT domain size in 24335 cells, compared with BRD4–NUT megadomains in TC-797 and 293Trex cells. The dot plots show the top 150 enhancer-state domains (as defined by continuous regions of H3K27ac enrichment; Materials and Methods) in the different cell lines. 293Trex is representative of a normal cell state, lacking a NUT-fusion protein. 293Trex BRD4–NUT megadomains, the MYC locus, including adjacent noncoding regions, is highly enriched with ZNF532–NUT (Fig. 4D). Moreover, most of the large regulatory region is transcriptionally active, similar to, and even more extensive than, all other NMC cells
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analyzed (3). These findings further support that MYC dysregulation is critical to the oncogenic function of all NUT-fusion proteins in NMC, including ZNF532–NUT.

**Evidence That ZNF532–NUT Interacts with BET Proteins to Drive Proliferation.** One model to explain how megadomains form in patient cells harboring a ZNF532–NUT fusion is that linkage to ZNF532 allows NUT to ectopically interact with endogenous BRD4 complexes, forming complexes analogous to those found in BRD4–NUT patient cells. To test whether ZNF532–NUT is dependent on BET proteins for its oncogenic role, we asked whether 24335 patient cells are sensitive to JQ1, the acetyl-histone mimetic that specifically inhibits binding of BET-family bromodomains. We found that ZNF532–NUT megadomains are associated with transcription genome-wide, which decreases rapidly upon BET inhibition (Fig. 4 A and D and Fig. S3E). Most importantly, 24335 cells differentiatate and arrest growth in the presence of JQ1, with IC_{50} values in the same range (357 nM) as that of TC-797 cells (104 nM) (Fig. 4E and Fig. S3F). These results support a model in which the ZNF532–NUT fusion protein is dependent on BET bromodomain proteins for its oncogenic properties.

Based on our initial identification of ZNF532 in BRD4–NUT pulldowns from NMC patient cells, we tested whether ZNF532 might provide a physical bridge to BRD4 complexes. To accomplish this, we tagged ZNF532 and assessed its protein interactions in 797TRex NMC patient cells, BICR6 non-NMC cells, and 293TRex cells. BICR6 is a non-NMC head and neck squamous cell patient cell line that expresses endogenous ZNF532, whereas expression is not detectable in 293TRex cells (Fig. S3G). We found that in all three cell lines, BioTAP-tagged ZNF532 displays similar interactions (Fig. 5), including with ZMYND8, ZNF687, ZNF592, and BRD4. These proteins were also linked to ZNF532 in data from previous mass spectrometric analyses (26–28). Interestingly, the ZMYND8 locus, encoding a top interactor of ZNF532, is bound by NUT-fusion proteins in all NMC lines tested (selected examples are shown in Fig. S3H). Furthermore, ZMYND8 transcription is sensitive to BET protein inhibition in both BRD4–NUT and ZNF532–NUT patient cell lines (Fig. S3J), and in several additional non-NMC cell lines studied by others (19). The ZNF532 locus is also JQ1-sensitive in the 24335 patient cell line (Fig. S4). Taken together, our findings strongly support a model in which BET proteins, coupled directly or indirectly to NUT, form an oncogenic complex that drives transcription in multiple self-sustaining regulatory loops (Fig. 6).

**Discussion**

Mutations in chromatin regulatory proteins are prevalent in cancer. Chromatin factors generally act within large, multisubunit complexes; thus, identifying both their normal and aberrant interactors in cancers should provide important information regarding potential targets for therapeutic intervention. Here we apply this principle to analysis of BRD4–NUT, a fusion oncoprotein that drives an aggressive subtype of squamous cell cancer. We use chromatin cross-linking combined with affinity purification and mass spectrometry (BioTAP-XL) to affinity purify BRD4 vs. BRD4 complexes and compare their protein–protein interactions. We present three principal findings from this approach. First, our proteomic analyses reveal that the NUT–EP300 interaction distinguishes BRD4–NUT from wild-type BRD4 complexes, providing strong support for a model in which a feed-forward acetylation and acetyl-binding cycle drives the formation of hyperacetylated megadomains. Second, we discover ZNF532 to be a BRD4–NUT interactor in 797TRex patient cells that is fused directly to NUT in 24335 patient cells to create another oncogenic fusion protein, ZNF532–NUT. Our results provide evidence that linkage of NUT to BRD4 complexes directly, via BRD4–NUT translocations, or indirectly, via fusion to other subunits (ZNF532, NSD3, BRD3) (6, 16), drives acetylated megadomains and oncogenesis in NMC patients (Fig. 6). Conceptually similar to the discovery that various oncogenic MLL fusions commonly interact in a “superelongation” complex in leukemia (29), our work supports a model in which distinct oncogenic translocations act interchangeably because they are able to force a common set of factors to interact aberrantly. Third, beyond the EP300/BRD4 acetylation and binding cycle posited to form megadomains, we have evidence for self-reinforcement of the oncogenic complex via several feed-forward regulatory loops. We find that multiple genetic targets of BRD4–NUT or ZNF532–NUT, including ZNF532 and ZMYND8, encode components of BRD4 regulatory complexes. Interestingly, it was previously reported that ZMYND8/ZNF532/ZNF592/ZNF687 interact with each other as a central hub in a large transcriptional network (26, 30). ZMYND8 has several important chromatin-binding domains (bromodomain, PWWP, PHD finger, MYND), and can function as a combinatorial reader of histone modifications such as H3K4me1 and H3K14ac (31). Whether ZNF532 and ZMYND8 perform essential functions in NMC is still under investigation. However, another transcriptional coregulator complex, Mediator, is clearly implicated in

![Fig. 5. BioTAP–ZNF532 pulldowns enrich for BET bromodomain proteins and additional conserved interactions. (A) Scatterplot of affinity pull-down enrichments, comparing BioTAP–ZNF532 in 797TRex NMC patient cells and in BICR6 non-NMC cells. Thresholds for enrichment from both pulldowns were set at the 95th percentile. (B) A list of the common hits, showing results from both N- and C-terminally tagged ZNF532 pulldowns in 797TRex, BICR6, and 293TRex cells. Asterisks denote bait used for pulldown. Mwt, molecular weight of protein in kilodaltons.](image-url)
BRD4 function in both normal and NMC cells (3). Selection for these types of self-reinforcing regulatory loops may be a powerful strategy for driving the growth advantage of cancer cells.

The discovery of misregulation via protein–protein interactions will require a more comprehensive proteomic approach to cancer biology, but current studies have not kept pace with genomic analyses. This is likely in part due to the technical challenges inherent to biochemical purifications, especially of chromatin- and DNA-binding protein complexes. We believe that our cross-linking approach largely circumvents many of the technical issues and represents a powerful complementary approach to existing analyses. Beyond the specific relevance to NMC, our interaction lists obtained by cross-linking combined with affinity purification and mass spectrometry provide new associations with potentially broad relevance to BRD4-dependent cancers. BET inhibitor studies have revealed a growing spectrum of cancers dependent on non-mutated BRD4; thus, identifying the breadth of normal and aberrant BRD4 interactions should provide useful candidates for combined therapeutic approaches. Additional candidates with known inhibitory compounds include XPO1 (6, 32), EP300 (33), and the transcriptional elongation complex pTEFb, composed of CDK9 and CCNT1 (34). Interestingly, our study confirms that the putative coregulatory module consisting of ZNF532 and CDK9 should be considered for small-molecule targeting, as ZMNYD8 carries multiple chromatin modification binding domains that could be subject to inhibition (31).

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture. 293T-Rex, TC-797 (36), and BICR6 (hyphopharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma) (37) were maintained in DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) FBS (S10350; Atlanta Biologicals), 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 1x GlutaMAX (Invitrogen). NMC 24335 cells (ZNF532–NUT) were obtained under institutional IRB approval (Dana–Farber Cancer Institute protocol number 10-228) and were maintained in growing as monolayer cultures in 150-mm dishes (50 plates total) were used for BioTAP-XL purification. Tetracycline was added (1 μg/mL) to 60 to 70% confluence to induce transcription of the N- or C-BioTAP-tagged BRD4–NUT cDNA for 24 h or for 4 d in the case of ZNF532 cDNA. The main steps of the BioTAP-XL procedure were as follows: cell harvesting, formaldehyde cross-linking, chromatin preparation, affinity purification, input, and IP protein recovery were performed as described (12, 41). Mass spectrometric files were searched with the SEQUEST algorithm against the human proteome (42). The mass spectrometric proteomics data have been deposited in the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD005786. We determined the normalized spectral abundance factor for each protein identified per LC-MS experiment essentially as described (43). The main difference in this report is that we proportionately scaled the pseudocount given for zero-spectral count proteins based on the sum of spectra sequenced in the input and pulldown. To illustrate

**Fig. 6.** Model for how NUT-fusion proteins drive oncogenic megadomain formation. BRD complexes function at gene-specific locations to facilitate transcription in normal cells. In contrast, NMC patients harbor NUT translocations to BRD4, BRD3, NSD3, or ZNF532 that form large megadomains, often filling whole TADs. This is postulated to occur through NUT recruitment of EP300 to the aberrant BRD regulatory complexes, leading to iterative histone acetylation and NUT-fusion protein recruitment to newly acetylated chromatin. NUT fusions to a variety of BRD-complex members result in an analogous mechanism for megadomain formation. MEDs, mediator complex subunits; TAFs, TATA box-binding protein-associated factors.
using a designated base value of 0.5, if the IP had one-fourth the number of spectra as the input in one scenario and if the IP had an equal number of spectra as the input in another scenario, we would use a pseudocount of 0.1 for the IP and 0.4 for the input with the former, and a pseudocount of 0.25 for both IP and input with the latter.

ChIP-Seq and Data Analysis. Cross-linked chromatin for ChIP-seq experiments using antibodies against proteins and histone modifications was prepared from 5 × 10⁶ 24335 (ZNF532–NUT) NMC cells as described (41). Affinity purification (44) and input and IP DNA recovery and library preparation (41) were performed as described. The following antibodies against proteins and histone modifications were used for ChIP-seq experiments: anti-H3K27ac (10 μL per IP; Active Motif; 39133); anti-phospho (10 μL; Bethyl; A300-358A); anti-H3K27me3 (10 μL per IP; Cell Signaling Technology; 9733); anti-BRD4 (5 μL per IP; Bethyl; A301-985A); anti-ZNF532 (10 μL per IP, Bethyl; A303-329A); and anti-NUT (15 μL per IP; clone CS2B1; Cell Signaling Technology; 3625). ChIP-seq reads were aligned to the human reference genome (GRCh38/hg38 assembly) using Bowtie (version 0.12.5), retaining only uniquely mapped reads. Smoothed enrichment profiles were generated using the SPP package (45), using a smoothing bandwidth of 500 bp.

Recovery and Analysis of Nascent RNA. ZNF532–NUT 24335 cells (4 × 10⁶) were grown in 100-mm dishes. Cells were split into two T-25 flasks, and JQ1 (10 μM final concentration) or DMSO was added to the media. After 4 h, cells were harvested and nascent RNA was purified as described (33). Each RNA library was constructed from 50 ng of nascent RNA following the manufacturer’s instructions (NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA Library Prep Kit; New England BioLabs).

Nascent RNA-seq reads were first aligned to the human genome using the procedure identical to that used for ChIP-seq processing. To compare nascent transcription rates between different samples, the smoothed read density profiles (500-bp bandwidth) were normalized to the total read density using the SPP package (45).

RNA Purification and Quantification by Quantitative Real-Time PCR. Total RNA was extracted from 1 × 10⁶ TC-797, BICR6, and 293T rex cells by a standard TRIzol extraction (Life Technologies), followed by RNA purification and recovery with the Rneasy Plus kit (Qiagen). The Vilo cDNA Synthesis Kit (Invitrogen) was used to reverse-transcribe RNA. To quantify transcript levels, real-time PCR was performed on an ABI PRISM 7000 machine as described (46). Quantitative (q)PCR primer sequences are provided in Table S1.

siRNA Transfections. For ZNF532 and NUT knockdown in 24335 cells, 1.5 × 10⁴ cells were transfected with 50 nM siRNA using the RNAiMAX (Invitrogen; 13778) reverse-transfection protocol, sequentially at times 0 and 48 h, and JQ1 (10 μM final concentration) or DMSO was added to the media. After 4 h, cells were harvested and nascent RNA was purified as described (33). Each RNA library was constructed from 50 ng of nascent RNA following the manufacturer’s instructions (NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA Library Prep Kit; New England BioLabs).

Immunofluorescence. Immunofluorescence of TC-797 cells was performed as described previously (7), and nuclei were counterstained with ProLong Gold Anti-fade Reagent with DAPI (Life Technologies; P36935). Primary antibodies used were rabbit polyclonal anti-ZNF532 (1:1,000; Bethyl Laboratories; A305-442A) and mouse monoclonal anti-HA (1:1,000; clone 16B12; Covance Research Products; MMS-101R). Secondary antibodies included goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 594 and goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 (1:1,000; Life Technologies; A-11012 and A-11001). Photographs were taken with a Nikon Eclipse E600 fluorescence microscope using a Spot RT slider camera (Diagnostic Instruments) and Spot Advanced software (Diagnostic Instruments).

Histology and Immunohistochemistry. Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) cell blocks of cultured cells were prepared as described (6, 47) using HistoGel (Richard-Allan Scientific/Thermo Fisher Scientific). Immunohistochemistry of the tumor from which the ZNF532–NUT cell line, 24335, was derived was performed as described (47) using anti-NUT (clone CS2B1; 1:50) and anti-ZNF532 (1:4,000; Bethyl; A303-442A).

Quantification of Cell Viability. TC-797 and 24335 cells were plated at a density of 3,000 per well in a 96-well plate, and CellTiter-Glo (Promega Biosciences) was used to determine cell viability as a measure of ATP content according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Fluorescence in Situ Hybridization. Dual-color FISH for ZNF532, BRD4, and NUT was performed on 5 μM FFPE sections of surgically removed tumor from which the 24335 cell line was derived as described (48). Probes used for NUT included the 3’ flanking telomeric BAC clones RP11-1H8 and -6403 and the 5’ flanking centromeric probes RP11-145E17 and -9282. Probes used for BRD4 included the 5’ centromeric probes RP11-207116 and -3055M5 and the 3’ telomeric probes RP11-319010 and -861D10. Probes used for ZNF532 included the 3’ telomeric BAC probes RP11-350K6 and -1061A13 and the 5’ centromeric probes RP11-351N16 and -722P5. Two hundred nuclei were counted in four different areas of the tumor. Eighty percent positivity in interpretable nuclei was defined as positive for rearrangement.

Immunoblotting. Western blots were prepared as described (6). Primary antibodies were used rabbit anti-ZNF532 (1:2,000; Bethyl; A303-442A), mouse anti-GAPDH (1:5,000; clone 6C5; Life Technologies), rabbit anti-NUT (1:1,000; clone CS2B1; Cell Signaling Technology), and mouse anti-involucrin (1:5,000; clone GAPDH-71.1; Sigma-Aldrich).

Quantification of Mitoses. Immunohistochemistry was performed on cells cultured on glass coverslips as follows. Following washing in PBS, coverslips were fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formaldehyde and then washed and blocked in PBS, Triton X-100 (3%; PBT; Sigma) for 1 h. Coverslips were then incubated in rabbit phospho-histone H3 (Ser10) antibody (1:500; Cell Signaling Technology; 9701) with PBT containing 2% nonfat milk for 1 h. After washing in PBS, coverslips were incubated in secondary antibody (HRP-conjugated swine anti-rabbit; 1:2,500; Agilent/Dako; P0217) in PBT, 2% milk for 1 h. After washing in PBS, coverslips were incubated in 200 μL (one drop) of DAB peroxidase substrate per the manufacturer’s instructions (Dako). After washing in PBS, coverslips were counterstained in hematoxylin and mounted onto glass slides for manual quantification using an Olympus BX40 microscope. Three hundred cells were counted per technical triplicate.

Megadomain Identification and TAD Boundary Detection. As in ref. 3, the megadomains were detected as regions of contiguous H3K27ac enrichment on the human genome. The megadomains were detected using a three-state hidden Markov model (HMM) with Gaussian emissions, with the emission mean set to μ, σ and 2σ for the neutral, enriched, and depleted states, respectively (μ, genome-wide enrichment mean; σ, genome-wide enrichment variance). The HMM was run on an enrichment (log2 ChIP/input ratio) profile estimated in 500-bp windows tiled along the chromosome. The state-transition probability was set to 0.7 to 0.8. For the purposes of comparison (e.g., Fig. 5Cd), BRD4–NUT domains were identified using the same method. Analysis of Hi-C data, including Hi-C boundary scores and combined observed/expected (O/E) estimates, were performed as in ref. 3.
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